

SELECTED RESOURCES

Australia

- Bates, G., *Environmental Law in Australia*, 7th edn (LexisNexis Butterworths, 2010).
- Bonyhady, T. and Christoff, P. (eds.), *Climate Law in Australia* (The Federation Press, 2007).
- Durrant, N., *Legal Responses to Climate Change* (The Federation Press, 2010).
- Lyster, R. (ed.), *In the Wilds of Climate Law* (Australian Academic Press, 2010).
- Peel, J., 'Climate Change Litigation' in *Climate Change Law and Policy in Australia* (LexisNexis Butterworths, 2009).
- Preston, B., 'Climate Change Litigation' (paper presented at the 'Climate Change Governance after Copenhagen' conference, Hong Kong, 4 November 2010).
- Smith, J. and Shearman, D., *Climate Change Litigation: Analysing the Law, Scientific Evidence and Impacts on the Environment, Health and Property* (Presidian Legal Publications, 2006).

China

- China, People's Republic of, The Constitution of the People's Republic of China 1982.
- China's Climate Change Policies and Actions (Beijing, 2007), available at www.gov.cn/zwgk/2008-10/29/content_1134378.htm.
- Dongmei, Guo, *Research on Legal Systems for Addressing Climate Change* (Beijing: Law Press, 2010).
- Haifeng, Deng, 'Review on Administrative Command and Control Approach in Environmental Law', *Hebei Law Science*, 23 (2005).
- Law of the PRC on Environmental Protection 1989.
- Law of the PRC on the Promotion of Clean Production 2002.
- Law of the PRC on Energy Conservation 2007.
- Law of the PRC on Circular Economy Promotion 2008.
- Mingyuan, Wang, *Theory on Clean Production* (Beijing: Tshinghua University Press, 2004).
- National Development and Reform Commission, *The PRC Initial National Communications on Climate Change*, available at www.ccchina.gov.cn/en/NewsInfo.asp?NewsId=7111.

- The Information Office of the State Council, *White Paper: China's Efforts and Achievements in Promoting the Rule of Law*, available at www.scio.gov.cn/zfbps/ndhf/2008/200905/t307866_2.htm.
- The State Council, *National 12th Five-Year Plan*, available at <http://news.sina.com.cn/c/2010-10-27/204721364515.shtml>.
- Wenxian, Zhang, *Research on Category for Philosophy of Law* (Beijing: China University of Political Science and Law Press, 2001).
- Zitai, Zhang, 'Legal Approaches to Climate Change Adaptation', *Global Law Review*, 5 (2008).

India

- Divan, Shyam and Rosencranz, Armin, *Environmental Law and Policy in India: Cases, Materials and Statutes*, 3rd edn (Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2001).
- Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, *Climate Change Negotiations: India's Submissions to the UNFCCC* (2009), available at <http://moef.nic.in/modules/about-the-ministry/CCD/>.
- Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment, *Climate Change and India: A 4X4 Assessment – A Sectoral and Regional Analysis for 2030s* (2010).
- India: Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007* (2010).
- Prime Minister's Council on Climate Change, Government of India, *National Action Plan on Climate Change* (2008), available at www.pmindia.nic.in/Pg01-52.pdf.
- Rajamani, L., 'India and Climate Change: What India Wants, Needs and Needs to Do', *India Review*, 8(3) (2009), 340–74.
- 'Public Interest Environmental Litigation in India: Exploring Issues of Access, Participation, Equity, Effectiveness and Sustainability', *Journal of Environmental Law*, 19(3) (2007), 293–321.
- 'The Right to Environmental Protection in India: Many a Slip between the Cup and the Lip?', *Review of European Community and International Environmental Law*, 16(3) (2007), 274.
- Singh, G. P., Aradhe, Alok, Jain, M. P. and Jain, S. N., *Principles of Administrative Law*, 6th edn (Nagpur: LexisNexis Butterworths Wadhwa, 2010).
- Singh, G. P. (Justice), Ratanlal and Dhirajlal, *The Law of Torts*, 26th edn (Nagpur: LexisNexis Butterworths Wadhwa, 2010).

Indonesia

- Bappenas, *Indonesian Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap (ICCSR): Scientific Basis: Analysis and Projection of Sea Level Rise and Extreme Weather Event* (2010).

- Indonesian Climate Change Sectoral Roadmap (ICCSR); Sektor Pertanian* (2010).
- Bedner, Adriaan, *Administrative Courts in Indonesia: A Socio-Legal Study* (Kluwer Law International, 2001).
- Djojodirdjo, Moegni, *Perbuatan Melawan Hukum* (Jakarta: Pradnya Paramita, 1982).
- Government of Indonesia, *National Action Plan Addressing Climate Change (NAPACC)* (2007).
- National Action Plan Reduction of Green House Gas Emission*, draft paper (2010).
- Indonesian Centre for Environmental Law, *Research on Climate Friendly Legal Framework on Forestry Sector* (forthcoming).
- Nicholson, David, *Environmental Dispute Resolution in Indonesia* (Leiden: KITLV Press, 2009).
- Pompe, Sebastiaan, *The Indonesian Supreme Court: a Study of Institutional Collapse* (2005).
- Santosa, Mas Achmad, *Role of Governance in Addressing Climate Change* (unpublished paper prepared for Democratic Governance Unit, UNDP-Indonesia, 2010).

Japan

- Abe, Y. and Awaji, T. (eds.), *Environmental Law*, 3rd edn (Yuhikaku, 2006).
- Gresser, J., Fujikura, K. and Morishima, A., *Environmental Law in Japan* (The MIT Press, 1981).
- Kitamura, Y., *Environmental Law* (Kobundo, 2011).
- Oda, H., *Japanese Law*, 3rd edn (Oxford University Press, 2009).
- Otsuka, T., *Environmental Law*, 3rd edn (Yuhikaku, 2010).
- Otsuka, T. and Kitamura, Y., *Case Book on Environmental Law*, 2nd edn (Yuhikaku, 2010).

Egypt

- Agrawala, Shardul *et al.*, *Development and Climate Change in Egypt: Focus on Coastal Resources and the Nile* (produced for the OECD, 2004).
- Al Danasory, Ezz El Din and Al Shawarby, Abdel Hameed, *The Constitutional Lawsuit* (Alexandria: Monsha't Al Maaref Publishers, 2001).
- Civil Liability in the Light of Jurisprudence and the Judiciary*, vol. I (Alexandria: Monsha't Al Maaref Publishers, 2004).
- Egypt's Second National Communication submitted on 7 June 2010 under the UNFCCC, available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/egync2.pdf>.
- El Sanhoury, *Al Wasit in the Civil Code, Sources of Obligation*, part II, vol. II (Dar Al Nahda Publishers, 1981).

- Dr Fikry, Fathy, *A Brief on the Annulment Case According to the Court Rulings*, enlarged edn (Cairo: People Printing Company, 2009).
- Saber, Mohammad, 'Environmental Consequences of Global Change in Egypt', *The International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme's Global Change News Letter*, 70 (2007), available at www.igbp.net/documents/NL_70-5.pdf.
- Sterman, David, *Climate Change in Egypt: Rising Sea Level, Dwindling Water Supplies* (2009), available at www.climate.org/topics/international-action/egypt.html.
- UNDP Climate Change Risk Management Programme for Egypt, available at www.undp.org.eg/Portals/0/Project%20Docs/Env_Pro%20Doc_Climate%20change%20Risk%20Management.pdf.

Israel

- Alpert, P., 'The Effects of Climatic Changes on the Availability of Water Resources in Israel' in Watanabe, T. (ed.), *Proceedings of the Kick-off Workshop for the Research Project on the Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Production System in Arid Areas* (ICCAP, 2002).
- Barak-Erez, Daphne, *Administrative Law*, 2 vols. (Israeli Bar Publishing, 2010).
- Dotan, Yoav, *Administrative Guidance* (Nevo Press, 1996).
- Fish, Daniel, *Environmental Law in Israel* (Mishpatim Publishing, 2000).
- McKinsey & Company, *Greenhouse Gas Abatement Potential in Israel: Israel's GHG Abatement Cost Curve* (2009).
- Ministry of Environmental Protection, 'Coping with Climate Change in Israel', *Special Issue: UN Copenhagen Climate Change Conference* (December 2009).
- 'Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate Change', *Israel Environment Bulletin*, 24 (2001).
- Porat, Ariel, 'Tort Law' in Shapira, A. and Dewitt-Arar, K. C. (eds.), *Introduction to the Law of Israel* (Kluwer, 1995).
- Rabinowitch, Danny and Lubanov, Carmit, 'Climate Justice in Israel', *The Association of Environmental Justice in Israel – Position Paper no. 1* (2010).
- Schorr, David, 'Has the Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Law already been Enacted?', *Hukim – Journal on Legislation*, 3 (2011), 241 (Hebrew).

Kenya

- Angwenyi, Anne, 'An Overview of the Environmental Management and Coordination Act', in Okidi, C. O. *et al.* (eds.), *Environmental Governance in Kenya: Implementing the Framework Law* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers Ltd, 2008), pp. 142–82.
- Government of Kenya, *National Climate Change Response Strategy* (2010).

- Draft Climate Change Bill* (2010).
- Vision 2030* (2008).
- IGAD, *Climate Change and Human Development in Africa: Assessing the Risks and Vulnerability of Climate Change in Kenya, Malawi and Ethiopia*, Human Development Report (Human Development Report Office, IGAD Climate Prediction and Applications Centre (ICPAC), 2007/08).
- Juma, C. and Ojwang, J. B. (eds.), *In Land We Trust: Environment, Private Property and Constitutional Change* (Nairobi and London: Initiative Publishers and Zed Books, 1996).
- Kameri-Mbote, Patricia, 'The Use of Criminal Law in Enforcing Environmental Law', in C. O. Okidi (ed.), *Environmental Governance in Kenya: Implementing the Framework Law* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers Ltd, 2008), pp. 110–125.
- Kameri-Mbote, Patricia and Odote, C., 'Courts as Champions of Sustainable Development: Lessons From East Africa', *Sustainable Development Law and Policy Law Journal*, 10(1) (2009), 31.
- Lumumba, P. L. O., *An Outline of Judicial Review in Kenya* (University of Nairobi, 1999).
- Makoloo, M. O., Ochieng, B. O. and Oloo, C. O., *Public Interest Environmental Litigation: Prospects and Challenges* (Nairobi: ILEG, 2007).
- McKenzie, Fiona L., 'Grounds of Review', *The Law Handbook*, available at www.lawhandbook.org.au/handbook/ch21s02s06.php.
- Mumma, Albert, 'The Continuing Role of Common Law in Sustainable Development' in Okidi, C. O. *et al.*, *Environmental Governance in Kenya: Implementing the Framework Law* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers Ltd, 2008), pp. 90–109.
- Okidi, C. O., 'Concept, Structure and Function of Environmental Law' in Okidi, C. O. *et al.* (eds.), *Environmental Governance in Kenya: Implementing the Framework Law* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers Ltd, 2008), pp. 3–60.
- Republic of Kenya, *Constitution of Kenya* (2010).
- Stockholm Environment Institute, *Economics of Climate Change in Kenya*, Project Report (2009).

South Africa

- Du Bois, F. and Glazewski, J., 'The Environment and the Bill of Rights' in *Bill of Rights Compendium* (Durban: LexisNexis, 2004), 2B, pp. 1–89.
- Du Bois, F. (ed.) *et al.*, *Wille's Principles of South African Law*, 9th edn (Cape Town: Juta & Co., 2007).
- Glazewski, J., *Environmental Law in South Africa*, 2nd edn (Durban: LexisNexis, 2005).

- Hahlo, H. R. and Kahn, E., *The South African Legal System and its Background* (Cape Town: Juta & Co., 1968).
- Hoexter, C., *Administrative Law in South Africa* (Cape Town: Juta & Co., 2007).
- Loubser and Midgley (eds.) *et al.*, *The Law of Delict in South Africa* (Cape Town: Oxford University Press, 2009).
- Neethling and Potgieter, *Neethling, Potgieter, Visser: Law of Delict*, 6th edn (Durban: LexisNexis, 2010).
- Paterson, A. and Kotzé, L. (eds.), *Environmental Compliance and Enforcement in South Africa: Legal Perspectives* (Cape Town: Juta Law, 2009).
- Soltau, F., *Fairness in International Climate Change Law and Policy* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- Strydom, H. and King, N. (eds.), *Fuggle and Rabie's Environmental Management in South Africa*, 2nd edn (Cape Town: Juta Law, 2009).

European Union

- Bothe, M. and Rehbinder, E., *Climate Change Policy* (Utrecht: Eleven International, 2005).
- Carlane, C. P., *Climate Change Law and Policy: EU and US Approaches* (Oxford University Press, 2010).
- Freestone, D. and Streck, C., *Legal Aspects of Carbon Trading* (Oxford University Press, 2009).
- Krämer, L., 'Klimaschutzrecht der Europäischen Union', *Revue Suisse du Droit International et Européen*, 20(3) (2010), 311.
- Oberthür, S. and Pallemarts, M. (eds.), *The New Climate Change Policies of the European Union* (Brussels: VUB Press, 2010).
- Peeters, M., *Met recht naar klimaatbescherming* (Groningen: Europa Law Publishing, 2009).
- Rodi, M. (ed.), *Emissions Trading in Europe: Initial Experiences and Lessons for the Future* (Berlin, 2008).
- Schulze-Fielitz, H. and Müller, T. (eds.), *Europäisches Klimaschutzrecht* (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 2009).
- Tabou, A.-S., *La mise en oeuvre du Protocole de Kyoto en Europe: interactions des contrôles international et communautaire* (Paris: La documentation française, 2010).
- Winter, G., 'The climate is no commodity: Taking stock of the emissions trading system', *Journal of Environmental Law*, 22(1) (2010), 1.

Germany

- Chatzinerantzis and Herz, 'Climate Change Litigation – Der Klimawandel im Spiegel des Haftungsrechts', *NJOZ* (2010), 594–98.

- Frank, 'Climate Change Litigation – Klimawandel und haftungsrechtliche Risiken', *NJOZ* (2010), 2296–2300.
- Koch, 'Climate Change Law in Germany', *Journal of European EEPL*, 7(4) (2010). (ed.), *Umweltrecht*, 3rd edn (2010).
- Koch and Hendler, *Baurecht, Raumordnungs – und Landesplanungsrecht*, 5th edn (2009).
- Landmann, Rohmer and Reh binder, *Umweltrecht*, 58th edn, vol. III (BImSchG, 2010).
- Sachverständigenrat für Umweltfragen (SRU), *Wege zur 100% erneuerbaren Stromversorgung* (2011), available at www.umweltrat.de.
- Staudinger and Kohler, *Kommentar zum Bürgerlichen Gesetzbuch mit Einführungsgesetz und Nebengesetzen*, vol. 3, *Sachenrecht, Umwelthaftung-srecht* (2010).

Poland

- Constitutional Tribunal judgment dated 6 June 2006, K 23/05, OTK-A 2006, No. 6; see [http://parl.sejm.gov.pl/WydBAS.nsf/0/C8292E9AF82C2743C12573B50050884F/\\$file/infos_023.pdf](http://parl.sejm.gov.pl/WydBAS.nsf/0/C8292E9AF82C2743C12573B50050884F/$file/infos_023.pdf)
- Mazur, P., 'Formy zbiorowe ochrony prawa osobistego do środowiska', *Państwo i Prawo*, 5 (2006).
- 'Prawo osobiste do korzystania z wartości środowiska naturalnego', *Państwo i Prawo*, 11 (1999).
- Radecki, W., 'Ochrona środowiska naturalnego a ochrona dóbr osobistych' in J. St. Piątowski (ed.), *Dobra osobiste i ich ochrona w polskim prawie cywilnym. Zagadnienia wybrane* (Ossolineum, 1986).
- Skoczylas, J., 'Odpowiedzialność cywilna na podstawie ustawy – Prawo ochrony środowiska', *Przeгляд Sądowy*, 4 (2003).
- 'Odpowiedzialność za szkodę wyrządzoną środowisku – tezy do nowelizacji art. 435 k.c.', *Przeгляд Sądowy*, 7–8 (2008).
- Supreme Court ruling dated 25 February 2009, III CSK 546/08; see www.cop14.gov.pl/files/Polacy_o_zmianach_klimatu.pdf

England

Books and journal articles

- Allen, Myles *et al.*, 'Scientific Challenges in the Attribution of Harm to Human Influence on Climate', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 155 (2007).
- Anderson, Michael and Galizzi, Paolo (eds.), *International Environmental Law in National Courts* (BIICL, 2003).
- Burton, James, Tromans QC, Stephen and Edwards, Martin, 'Climate Change, What Chance a Damages Action in Tort?', *UKELA, e-law*, 55 (2010), 22.

- Clerk & Lindsell on Torts*, 20th edn (Sweet & Maxwell, 2010).
- Fordham QC, Michael, *The Judicial Review Handbook*, 5th edn (Hart Publishing, 2008).
- Kaminskaité-Salters, Giedré, *Constructing a Private Climate Change Lawsuit under English Law: A Comparative Perspective* (Kluwer Law International, 2010).

Case law

- Arcscott v. Coal Authority* [2004] EWCA Civ 892.
- Barbone v. Secretary of State for Transport* [2009] EWHC 463 (Admin).
- Boggis v. Natural England* [2009] EWCA Civ 1061.
- Bradford v. West Devon BC* [2007] PAD 45.
- Dimmock, Heathrow Airport Ltd v. Garman* [2007] EWHC 1957 (QB).
- Dobson v. Thames Water Utilities* [2009] EWCA 28.
- Enertrag UK Ltd v. South Norfolk DC* (2010) PAD 13, at para. 72.
- Fairchild v. Glenhaven* [2003] 1 AC 32.
- J & A Young (Leicester) Ltd v. The Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs* (2008).
- London Borough of Hillingdon v. Secretary of State for Transport* [2010] EWHC 626 (Admin).
- Marcic v. Thames Water Utilities* [2004] AC 42.
- Nicholson v. Grainger Plc* [2010] 2 All ER 253.
- Platform, People and Planet v. Commissioners of HM Treasury* [2009] EWHC 3020 (Admin).
- R (Edwards & Pallikaropoulos) v. Environment Agency and DEFRA* [2010] UKSC 57.
- R (on the application of Friends of the Earth Ltd and Anor) v. Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and Ors* [2001] EWCA Civ 1950.
- Sienciewicz v. Grief* [2011] UKSC 10.
- Tabernacle v. Secretary of State for Defence* [2009] EWCA Civ 23.

Russia

- Agibalov, S. and Kokorin, A., 'Copenhagen Agreement – A New Paradigm for the Climate Challenge Solution', *Vaprosi Ekonomiki*, RAN, 9 (2010).
- Anaya, J., *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the situation of human rights and fundamental freedoms of indigenous peoples, James Anaya, on the situation of indigenous peoples in the Russian Federation*, UNGA, A/HRC/15/37/Add.5, (2010), available at <http://unsr.jamesanaya.org/PDFs/Russia%20Report%20EN.pdf>.
- Bogoliobov, S.A., *Ecological Law (Экологическое право)* (Moscow: NORMA, 2001), Учебник для вузов, available at www.bibliotekar.ru/ecologicheskoe-pravo-1/77.htm.

- Butler, W. E., *Russian Law*, 2nd edn (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- Consultant, Russian law search engine, available at www.consultant.ru.
- Douma, W., Kozeltsev, M. and Dobrolyubova, J., 'Russia and the International Climate Change Regime' in Oberthür, S. and Pallemmaerts, M. (eds.) with Roche Kelly, C., *The New Climate Policies of the European Union: Internal Legislation and Climate Diplomacy* (Brussels: VUB Press, 2010).
- Douma, W. Th. and Mucklow, F. M. (eds.), *Environmental Finance and Socially Responsible Business in Russia: Legal and Practical Trends* (The Hague: Asser Press, 2010), in particular: Mucklow, F. and Douma, W. Th., 'Environmental Finance and Socially Responsible Business in Russia – An Introduction'; Ratsiborinskaya, D. N., 'Russian Environmental Law – An Overview for Businesses'; Nystén-Haarala, S., 'Mechanics to Promote Green Business in Russia'; Yulkin, M., 'Involving Russian Business in Kyoto'; Guseva, T., 'Environmental Education and Capacity Building in Russia'.
- Douma, W. and Ratsiborinskaya, D., 'The Russian Federation and the Kyoto Protocol' in Douma, W., Massai, L. and Montini, M. (eds.), *The Kyoto Protocol and Beyond: Legal and Policy Challenges of Climate Change* (The Hague: Asser Press, 2007), pp. 135–45.
- Garant, Russian law search engine available at www.garant.ru.
- Gutbrod, M., Sitnikov, S. and Pike-Biegunska, E., *Trading in Air: Mitigating Climate Change Through the Carbon Markets* (Moscow: Infotropic, 2010).
- Henry, L. A. and McIntosh Sundstrom, L., 'Russia and the Kyoto Protocol: From Hot Air to Implementation?' in Harrison, K. and McIntosh Sundstrom, L. (eds.), *Global Commons, Domestic Decisions: The Comparative Politics of Climate Change* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010).
- Kekic, L., *Country Forecast: Russia* (Economist Intelligence Unit, July 2010).
- Millhone, J. P., 'Russia's Fires Breathe New Life into Climate Picture', Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (Commentary, 16 August 2010), available at www.carnegieendowment.org/2010/08/16/russia-s-fires-breathe-new-life-into-climate-picture/4ls.
- Oldfield, J. D., Kouzmina, A. and Shaw, D. J. B., 'Russia's Involvement in the International Environmental Process: A Research Report', *Eurasian Geography and Economics* 44(2) (2003), 157–68.
- Perelet, R., Pegov, S. and Yulkin, M., Climate Change: Russia Country Paper, Human Development Report Office, *Human Development Report 2007/2008*, 'Fighting Climate Change: Human Solidarity in a Divided World' (Moscow: UNDP, 2007), available at http://hdr.undp.org/en/media/HDR_20072008_EN_Overview.pdf.

Canada

- Bernstein *et al.* (eds.), *A Globally Integrated Climate Policy for Canada* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2007).

- Chalifour, Nathalie, 'Making Federalism Work for Climate Change: Canada's Division of Powers over Carbon Taxes', *NJCL*, 22 (2009), 119.
- Crowley, Paul, 'Inuit Defend their Human Rights against Climate Change' in Berger, S. and Saxe, D., *Environmental Law: The Year in Review* (Aurora: Canada Law Book, 2008), p. 39.
- Doelle, Meinhard, *From Hot Air to Action? Climate Change, Compliance and the Future of International Environmental Law* (Toronto: Carswell, 2005).
- Doelle, Meinhard and Mahony, Dennis, 'A Shift in the Legal Climate: The Emergence of Climate Change as a Dominant Legal Issue across Canada' in Berger, S. and Saxe, D., *Environmental Law: The Year in Review* (Aurora: Canada Law Book, 2008), p. 1.
- Elgie, Stewart, 'Kyoto, the Constitution and Carbon Trading: Waking a BNA Bear (or Two)', *Review of Constitutional Studies*, 13 (2007), 67-129.
- Elwell, Christine and Boyle, Grant, 'Friends of the Earth v the Minister of Environment: Does CEPA 166 Require Canada to Meet its Kyoto Commitments?', *JELP*, 18 (2008), 254-77.
- Grimm, D. J., 'Global Warming and Market Share Liability: A Proposed Model for Allocating Tort Damages among CO2 Producers', *Columbia Journal of Environmental Law*, 32 (2007), 209-50.
- Hsu, Shi-Ling, 'A Realistic Evaluation of Climate Change Litigation Through the Lens of a Hypothetical Lawsuit', *U. Colo. L. Rev.*, 79 (2008), 701-66.
- Lucas, A., 'Legal Constraints and Opportunities: Climate Change and the Law' in Coward, H. G. (ed.), *Hard Choices: Climate Change in Canada* (Waterloo: Wilfred Laurier University Press, 2004).
- Mahony, D. (ed.), *The Law of Climate Change in Canada* (Aurora: Canada Law Book, 2010).
- Simpson, J., Jaccard, M. and Rivers, N., *Hot Air: Meeting Canada's Climate Change Challenge* (Toronto: McClelland & Stewart Ltd, 2007).
- Wood, M. C., 'Atmospheric Trust Litigation' in Burns, W. C. G. and Osofsky, H. M. (eds.), *Adjudicating Climate Change: Sub-National, National, and Supra-National Approaches* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).

United States of America

- Burns, William C. G. and Osofsky, Hari M. (eds.), *Adjudicating Climate Change: Sub-National, National, and Supra-National Approaches* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- Connecticut v. American Electric Power*, 582 F.3d 309 (2nd Cir. 2009) cert. granted, 131 S. Ct. 813 (U.S. 2010); *American Electric Power v. Connecticut* (10-174, 2011 WL 2437011 (US June 20, 2011)).
- Dhooge, J. Lucien, 'Aguinda v. ChevronTexaco: Mandatory Grounds for the Non-Recognition of Foreign Judgments for Environmental Injury in the United States', *J. Transnat'l L. & Pol'y*, 19 (2009).

- Energy Info. Admin., U.S. Dept of Energy (DOE/EIA-0384(2009)), *2009 Annual Energy Review* (2010).
- Gerrard, Michael B. (ed.), *Global Climate Change and U.S. Law* (American Bar Association, 2007).
- Massachusetts v. E.P.A.*, 549 U.S. 497, 521 (2007).
- North Carolina v. EPA*, 531 F.3d 896 (D.C. Cir. 2008).
- The Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q (2004).
- U.S. Climate Change Sci. Prog. & Subcomm. Global Change Research, *Weather and Climate Extremes in a Changing Climate: Regions of Focus: North America, Hawaii, Caribbean, and U.S. Pacific Islands* (2008).
- U.S. Global Change Research Prog., Nat'l Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin., *Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States* (2009).

Brazil

- Agência Nacional de Petróleo, *Anuário Estatístico Brasileiro do Petróleo, Gás Natural e Biocombustíveis 2010* (Rio de Janeiro: ANP, 2010).
- Canotilho, José Joaquim Gomes and Leite, José Rubens Morato (eds.), *Direito Constitucional Ambiental Brasileiro* (São Paulo: Saraiva, 2007), pp. 316–20.
- Didier Jr, Fredie, *Ações Constitucionais*, 4th edn (Salvador: Jus Podium, 2009).
- Dimoulis, Dimitri and Martins, Leonardo, *Teoria Geral dos Direitos Fundamentais* (São Paulo: Editora Revista dos Tribunais, 2007), p. 96.
- Filho, Sérgio Cavaleiri, *Programa de Responsabilidade Civil*, 8th edn (São Paulo: Atlas, 2009), p. 2.
- International Law Commission, 58th session, *Expulsion of Aliens* (Geneva, 2006), pp. 110–24.
- Lavratti, Paula and Prestes, Vanêsa Buzelato (ed.), *Direito e mudanças climáticas [recurso eletrônico]: inovações legislativas em matéria de mudanças climáticas* (São Paulo: Instituto O Direito por um Planeta Verde, 2010), p. 118.
- Machado, Paulo Affonso Leme, *Direito Ambiental Brasileiro*, 8th edn (São Paulo: Malheiros Editores, 2000), pp. 322–23.
- Marcovitch, Jacques (coord.), *Economia da Mudança do Clima no Brasil: custos e oportunidades* (São Paulo: IBEP Gráfica, 2010).
- MERCOSUR, *Las energías renovables en el ambito del Mercosur, sus estados asociados y en el escenario internacional: su dimensión estratégica, productiva, ambiental y económica* (Montevideo, 2009), p. 11.
- Nunes, Cíntia Bezerra de Melo Pereira, 'Integração Energética no Mercosul e o Acordo Marco Sobre Complementação Energética' in Bichara, Jahyr-Philippe *et al.* (eds.), *Realidades – Organizações Internacionais e Questões da Atualidade* (Natal: Editora da UFRN, 2008), p. 75.
- Ribeiro, Helena and Silva, Edelci Nunes da, *Alterações da temperatura em ambientes externos de favela e desconforto térmico* (Revista de Saúde

Pública, ISSN 0034–8910), available at: www.scielo.org/scielo.php?pid=S0034-89102006000500016&script=sci_arttext.

Silva, José Antonio Tietzmann e, 'A efetividade do Direito Internacional do Meio Ambiente: a jurisprudência da Corte Europeia de Direitos Humanos' in Barros-Platiau, Ana Flávia and Varella, Marcelo Dias (ed.), *A efetividade do Direito Internacional Ambiental* (Brasília: UNICEUB, UNITAR e UnB, 2009), pp. 293–316.

Soberania, Queimada, *Revista Novo Ambiente: desenvolvimento com equilíbrio*, 1(4) (2010), 13.

Mexico

Acevedo, Lucio Cabrera, *El amparo protector del derecho al ambiente y de otros derechos humanos* (Mexico: Porrúa, 2000).

Carlos, Gay García (ed.), *México: una visión hacia el siglo XXI. El cambio climático en México* (Mexico: Instituto Nacional de Ecología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, US Country Studies Program, 2000).

Conde-Pumpido, Candido, 'Introducción al delito ecológico' in Basoco, Juan Terradillos, *El delito ecológico* (Valladolid: Trotta, 1992).

Fernández, Adrian *et al.*, 'Cambio Climático y Acciones para enfrentarlo' in Sada, Ninfa Salinas and Alaniz, Yolanda (eds.), *Temas Selectos de Medio Ambiente* (Mexico: Cámara de Diputados, 2011).

González, José Juan, 'Mexico' in Kurt Deketelaere, 'Environmental Law', *International Encyclopedia of Law* (Kluwer Law International, 2009).

Hildreth, Richard G. *et al.*, *Climate Change Law: Mitigation and Adaptation* (Thomson Reuters Business, 2009).

Machado, Paulo Affonso Leme, *Direito á informação e meio ambiente* (Brazil: Malheiros, 2006).

Marquez, Jose Juan Gonzalez, *La responsabilidad por el Daño Ambiental. El paradigma de la reparación* (Mexico: UAM-Porrúa, 2002).

Martínez, Julia (ed.), *Cambio climático: una visión desde México* (Mexico: Instituto Nacional de Ecología, Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales, 2004).

Richardson, Benjamin *et al.*, *Climate Law and Developing Countries: Legal and Policy Challenges for the World Economy* (Edward Elgar, 2009).

INDEX

- Aarhus Convention 46, 373, 395, 451, 521
- aboriginal peoples *see* indigenous peoples
- absolute liability
 - India 166–7
- access to information 45
 - Aarhus Convention 46, 373, 395, 451, 521
 - Egypt 269–70
 - European Union 373
 - Germany 414–16
 - consumer information 415–16
 - Environmental Information Act 414–15
 - stakeholder information 416
 - India 174–6
 - Indonesia 203–4
 - Japan 211, 240
 - Mexico 646–8
 - Poland 444
 - Russia 521–2
 - South Africa 325
 - United Kingdom 485–7
 - Climate Change Act, 2008 486
 - Companies Act, 2006 486
 - disclosure obligations 486, 487
 - document retention requirements 487
 - Environmental Information Regulations, 2004 486
 - Freedom of Information Act, 2000 486
 - right to information as human right 487
 - United States of America 600
- access to justice 46
- Aarhus Convention 46, 373, 395, 451, 521
- accountability for loss
 - Germany 405–6
 - see also* causation issues; fault
- acid rain
 - Germany 400, 403
- administrative law 31
 - Indonesia 190–3
 - administrative enforcement through regulatory compliance 193
 - ground for challenge and timing 191–2
 - jurisdiction 190–1
 - legal standing 192
 - remedies 192
 - Japan 218–26
 - South Africa 324
- advertising
 - India 171
- African Charter on Human and People's Rights 39
- African Union (AU) 317, 345–6
- agriculture
 - Australia 68, 70
 - Brazil 608–9, 625
 - China 123
 - European Union 359
 - India 143
 - Indonesia 179, 186
 - Japan 215
 - Kenya 300, 301
 - United States of America 562
- aid to industries
 - Germany 397
 - United Kingdom 479

- air pollution
 - China 119
 - India 155, 159
 - Israel 274–5, 281, 287, 293, 294
 - Japan 229, 231
 - Mexico 629–30
 - South Africa 326–7
 - United States of America 563–7
- ancillary liability 36–7
- ancillary orders
 - India 173–4
 - Japan 239
 - Poland 443
- anti-trust law *see* competition/anti-trust law
- applicable law 44
 - see also* founding jurisdiction
- arbitration 45
- Arctic region
 - effects of climate change 26
- Asia Pacific Partnership on Clean Development and Climate 60
 - India and 144
- Australia 110
 - climate change risks 70
 - criminal law 107–8
 - emissions trading scheme (CPRS) 68, 70–2, 110
 - government stance on climate change 68–9
 - legal system 67–8
 - litigation costs 103–6
 - costs limiting orders and security for costs 106
 - private law 85–6
 - assessment of private law litigation 102
 - causation 92–8
 - civil liability of government bodies 101–2
 - class actions 102
 - duty of care 86–8
 - negligence 86, 88
 - nuisance 98–100
 - remedies 100–1
 - standard of care and breach of duty 88–91
 - public international law and 108–10
 - public law 72
 - assessment of public law litigation 84–5
 - enforcement of environmental laws 74–5
 - remedies 72–4, 84–5
 - review of government decisions 75–84
 - standing to seek public remedies 72–4
 - remedies
 - private law 100–1
 - public law 72–4, 84–5
 - renewable energy target 72
 - review of government decisions
 - having climate change impacts 75–84
 - judicial review of administrative decisions 75–82
 - merits review of administrative decisions 82–4
 - trade practices law 107
- Bali Action Plan (BAP) 56
- Bangladesh 26, 622
- BASIC countries 145, 320
- biofuel
 - Brazil 612–13
- Bonyhady, T. 110
- Boutrous, T. J. 102
- Bowring, B. 497
- Brazil 51, 624–6
 - climate change risks 609
 - constitution 607, 610, 612–13, 615, 620, 623–4
 - governmental stance on climate change 608–9
 - industrial and natural resources context 609
 - legal system 607–8
 - other laws and rights 620–4
 - private law 615–17
 - difficulties in applying civil liability regime to environmental damage 617–18
 - environmental protection as responsibility of private entities and public authorities 618–19

- Brazil (*cont.*)
 jurisdictional instruments for
 controlling climate change
 619–20
 public law 610–13
 judicial review 613–15
- burden of proof
 Germany 401–2
 Indonesia 196
 Japan 229
 Poland 430, 435
- Bush, George W. 557, 562
- business liability
 Indonesia 201
- Cameron, David 446
- Canada 525, 555
 citizen submissions under NAAEC
 554
 climate change risks 528–30
 constitution 530, 531, 535
 environmental assessment
 processes 551–2
 GHG emissions as ‘releases’ under
 provincial legislation 552
 governmental stance on climate
 change 527–8
 greenwashing 553
 legal costs 540–1
 legal system 525–7
 petitions to Commissioner for
 Sustainable Development
 (CSD) 554
 private law 541
 causation issues 547–8
 conspiracy 545
 joint and several liability
versus market share liability
 548–9
 limitation issues 549
 negligence 543–5
 nuisance 545–6
 public trust doctrine 549
 securities litigation 549
 strict liability 546
 tort litigation 542–3
 public international law and 550
 public law 530
 grounds for judicial review
 531–40
 public trust concept in 41
 regulation of climate change 31
 species at risk from climate change
 552
 Western Climate Initiative 60
- Cancun Agreements 54, 58
 Brazil and 608
 India and 144
 Japan and 209
 United States of America and 558,
 596
- carbon cycle 10
 carbon dioxide (CO₂) 9, 26, 31
 Carbon Sequestration Leadership
 Forum 145
- carbon taxation
 Australia 71
- care, duty of
 Australia 86–8
 standard of care and breach of
 duty 88–91
 Canada 543, 544
 India 168
 Israel 289–90
 South Africa 323–4
- Carter-Byatt critique 81
- causation issues 33–4, 137
 Australia 92–8
 elements of causation 93–4
 factual causation 94–7
 scope of liability 97–8
 Canada 547–8
 Egypt 264–5
 Germany
 factual causation 400–4
 illegality/lack of justification
 406–8
 legal causation 405–6
 Indonesia 195, 197
 Israel 291–3
 Japan 229–30
 Kenya 315
 Mexico 639–40
 Poland 430
 South Africa 340–2
 United Kingdom 464, 465–70

- material increase in risk 468–70
 - specific causation 465–7
- United States of America 591–2
- charities
 - as claimants for climate change liability 27
- China 51, 137–8
 - climate change risks 124
 - constitution 117
 - emissions trading schemes 62
 - energy conservation and emissions reduction 127–32
 - government stance on climate change 113–17
 - National 11th Five-Year-Plan on environmental protection 115–16
 - National Leading Committee on Climate Change 114
 - National Plan to Address Climate Change 116
 - White Paper on climate change policies and actions 116
 - industrial and natural resources context 123–4, 559
 - legal liabilities for climate change 125
 - legal system 112–13
 - legislation relevant to climate change
 - administrative regulation and rules 121–3
 - basic law on environmental protection 118
 - constitution 117
 - laws on utilization of energy 118–19
 - overview 117
 - specific laws on environmental protection 119–21
 - private law 135–7
 - public law
 - energy conservation and emissions reduction 127–32
 - environmental criminal law 134–5
 - law on environmental impact assessment 125–7
 - legal liability on climate change in
 - specific laws on environmental protection 132
 - procedural law 132–4
- citizen actions
 - Canada 554
 - Japan 224–6
- civil unrest 108
 - climate-related protests 398
- class actions 46
 - Australia 102
 - Canada 546
 - Egypt 245
 - Indonesia 196
 - Poland 434
 - United Kingdom 485
- Clean Development Mechanism
 - India and 144
- clean production
 - China 120
- climate
 - definition 9, 10
 - see also* individual topics
- climate change liability 47–9
 - ancillary matters 43–7
 - definition 23–4
 - other liability 37–43
 - policy considerations
 - context 50–2
 - international climate change law and policy 53–9
 - regional and national initiatives 59–60
 - role of markets 60–2
 - technology cooperation and transfer 62–3
 - thesis of this book 63
 - private liability 32–7
 - public liability 31–2
 - who may be liable 28–30
 - ancillary commercial entities 29
 - industry 29
 - insurers 30
 - public bodies 28–9
 - who will use the law 24–8
 - see also* individual countries
- Clinton, Bill 557

- coal mining
 - Australia 76, 79, 80
- coal-fired power stations
 - Australia 68, 72, 74, 76, 77–8, 82
 - European Union 359
 - Germany 379
 - India 141, 142
 - Israel 276, 284
 - Japan 215, 221
 - Poland 419
 - United Kingdom 448
 - United States of America 559, 578
- co-liability
 - Poland 433–4
- collective redress 46
- competency
 - as ground for judicial review 279
- competition 41–2
- competition/anti-trust law 41
 - Germany 397
 - India 170
 - Japan 236–7
 - Russia 517
 - United Kingdom 479–80
- conflict of law rules 44
- conservation of energy
 - Brazil 621
 - China 118, 127–32
 - European Union 355–7
 - Germany 382–3
 - Israel 273
 - Japan 213–15
 - Mexico 632
 - Russia 500–1
- conspiracy
 - Canada 545
 - United States of America 587–9
- constitutions 37
 - Brazil 607, 610, 613, 615
 - Canada 530, 531, 535
 - China 117
 - Egypt 249–51, 267
 - European Union 352–3, 371
 - Germany 376, 387–90, 393
 - India 139, 147, 153, 154, 162
 - Indonesia 188–9
 - Israel 285–6
 - Japan 206, 207, 217, 234
 - Kenya 38, 296, 307, 308–10
 - Mexico 627, 636, 637, 638, 643, 646
 - Poland 421, 436–7
 - Russia 490, 498, 508, 521, 522
 - South Africa 320, 321–2, 331, 337
 - United States of America 556, 557, 562, 573
- consumer information
 - Germany 415–16
- consumer protection
 - India 171, 172
 - South Africa 342
- contributory negligence
 - South Africa 340
- Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)
 - Japan and 237–8
- Copenhagen Accord 54, 57–8
 - India and 144
 - United States of America and 558, 596
- corporations
 - as claimants for climate change liability 27
- corruption
 - Indonesia 205
- costs
 - climate change mitigation 54
 - litigation *see* legal costs
- criminal law 42
 - Australia 107–8
 - China 134–5
 - Egypt 266
 - European Union 372
 - India 170
 - Indonesia 201–2
 - Japan 234–5
 - Kenya 315–16
 - Mexico 642–4, 648
 - Poland 439–40
 - Russia 518–19
 - South Africa 330
 - United Kingdom 475–6
 - United States of America 594
- customary law
 - Kenya 296
- damage (harm) 33
 - Brazil

- difficulties in applying
 - civil liability regime to environmental damage 617–18
- causation *see* causation issues
- Egypt 263–4
- foreseeability of *see* foreseeability
- Indonesia 195
- Israel 290
- Poland 435
 - obligation to prevent harm 432
- South Africa 339–40
- United Kingdom 459–60, 464–5
- wrongfulness and 334
- damages remedy
 - Australia 100
 - Egypt 265
 - Germany 408–9
 - Israel 286
 - Russia 515–16
- decarbonisation
 - India 144
- demand for energy
 - India 140
 - Israel 276
- desalination plants
 - Australia 79
- desertification
 - Brazil 625
 - Israel 277
- detection and attribution science 8
- disaster management
 - South Africa 327–8
- discovery *see* access to information
- discretion
 - grounds for judicial review and 306–7, 393
- disease risks 25
 - Brazil 624
 - Indonesia 178
 - Israel 278
 - United States of America 562
- displacement 35
- drivers of climate change 11, 14
- droughts
 - Brazil 610, 624
 - China 124
 - European Union 358
 - Kenya 300
- Durrant, N. 90, 91, 102
- duty of care *see* care, duty of
- East African Community 317, 318
- ecologically sustainable development (ESD)
 - Australia 77, 81, 82
 - Brazil 625
 - Canada 554
 - Poland 436
 - South Africa 321, 322
- economic effects of climate change 25
- economic growth and development
 - India 140–1
- ecosystems 28
- Ecuador
 - constitutional rights in 28, 38
- education
 - environmental awareness and education in Russia 491–2
- effects of climate change 24–6
 - Australia 70
 - Brazil 609–10, 623–4
 - Canada 528–30, 552
 - China 124
 - Egypt 249
 - European Union 358–9
 - Germany 386
 - India 142–3
 - Indonesia 178–9, 198
 - Israel 277–8, 290
 - Japan 215
 - Mexico 632–3
 - Poland 420–1
 - Russia 503–4
 - United Kingdom 449
 - United States of America 561–2
 - see also* disease risks; fires; flooding; sea-level rise
- efficiency *see* conservation of energy
- Egypt 270
 - climate change risks 249
 - constitution 249–51, 267
 - criminal law 266
 - enforcement issues 267–8
 - environmental law 252–6
 - governmental stance on climate change 246–8

- Egypt (*cont.*)
 industrial and natural resources
 context 248–9
 legal costs 268
 legal system 245
 natural reserves law 256–7
 obtaining information 269–70
 private law 260
 causation 264–5
 definition of damage/harm 263–4
 liability on a fault basis 261–2
 liability on a non-fault basis 262
 remedies 265
 public interest litigation 268
 public law 257
 constitutional review 251
 existence of administrative
 decision 258–9
 grounds for judicial review 260
 justiciability of claims 257
 standing 258
 public trust concept 266–7
 sovereign immunity 270
- El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO)
 phenomenon 14, 16
- emissions reduction targets
 Australia 69
 Brazil 609, 622
 European Union 356, 357
 Germany 380–1
 India 143
 Israel 274
 Japan 208
 Poland 420
 United Kingdom 447
- emissions sources
 Brazil 609
 China 123–4, 559
 Egypt 248–9
 European Union 359
 Germany 378–9
 India 141
 Indonesia 179, 187, 205
 Israel 276
 Japan 215–16
 Kenya 299–300
 Mexico 632
 Poland 419–20
- Russia 502–3
 United Kingdom 448–9
 United States of America 559–61
- emissions trading schemes
 Australia 68, 70–2, 110
 China 62
 European Union 60, 61, 71, 353–4,
 363
 Germany and 381, 414
 Poland and 418–19
 United Kingdom 447
 United States of America 592, 593
- endangered species *see* wildlife
- energy efficiency *see* conservation of
 energy
- enforcement issues 45
 Australia 74–5
 Egypt 267–8
 European Union 364–7, 372
 India 173
 Indonesia 182, 193, 202–3, 204
 Japan 239
 Mexico 645
 Poland 442
 Russia 521
 South Africa 328–31
 United Kingdom 484
 United States of America 599
- environmental awareness and
 education
 Russia 491–2
- environmental impact assessment
 (EIA)
 Canada 551–2
 China 125–7
 Germany 384–5, 396
 Israel 282–3
 Poland 426–7
 United States of America 568–75
- environmental law
 Australia 74–5
 Egypt 252–6
 European Union 352–3
 India 156–60
 Russia 495–6, 517
- environmental rights
 Egypt 260
 India 147–50, 152–4

- Indonesia 189
- Kenya 309–12
- Poland 436–9
- South Africa 321–2
- Estonia 361
- European Convention on Human Rights 39, 352, 453
 - Russia and 498
- European Ombudsman 372
- European Union 374–5
 - access to and obtaining information 373
 - competition law 41
 - constitution 352–3, 371
 - constitutional rights 352–3, 371
 - criminal law 372
 - embargo on genetically modified organisms 110
 - Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) 60, 61, 71, 353–4, 363
 - Germany and 381, 414
 - Poland and 418–19, 426
 - energy efficiency measures 355–7
 - enforcement issues 364–7, 372
 - environmental law 352–3
 - European Climate Change Programme (ECCP) 446
 - EU–Russia Agreement 519
 - human rights law 352–3, 371
 - industrial and natural resources context 359
 - institutions' stance on climate change 357–8
 - legal costs 373
 - legal system 351–2
 - public law 360
 - action by private persons against decisions by EU Commission 367–8
 - court decisions on allocation of powers between EU and member states 360–2
 - enforcement of EU climate change provisions in member states 364–7
 - general assessment of EU public law litigation 368–71
 - validity of EU legislation 362–4
 - renewable energy and 354–5
 - risks from climate change 358–9
 - soft law 372
 - standing 373
 - supply chain regulation 371
 - Exxon Mobil 34
 - fault 34
 - Egypt 261–2
 - Germany 408
 - Indonesia 194–5, 197
 - Mexico 637
 - Poland 429
 - South Africa 337–9
 - United Kingdom 470
 - allegations of deliberate wrongful conduct 471
 - see also* causation issues
 - financial crisis
 - United Kingdom and 455
 - Finland 366
 - fires
 - Brazil 610, 623
 - Israel 278, 292
 - Russia 504
 - fisheries
 - Kenya 301
 - flooding 25
 - Australia 81
 - Brazil 610
 - China 124
 - Germany 386
 - India 142
 - Indonesia 199
 - Japan 215
 - South Africa 328
 - in United Kingdom 13, 17, 19–22
 - United States of America 561
 - foreign direct investment (FDI)
 - Russia 503
 - foreseeability 34
 - Canada 544
 - Israel 289–90
 - Kenya 314
 - South Africa 338
 - United Kingdom 471–2

- forests
 - China 121
 - Germany 400
 - India 155, 160
 - Indonesia 186, 199
 - Kenya 301
 - Mexico 642
- fossil fuels
 - subsidies 61
 - see also* coal mining; oil
- founding jurisdiction 44
 - India 172
 - Japan 237–8
 - Mexico 645
 - Poland 441
 - Russia 520
 - United Kingdom 483–4
- France 363
- fraudulent misrepresentation
 - United States of America 587–9

- G8+5 145
- G20 145
- Garnaut Review (Australia) 69
- gas-fired power stations 248
 - European Union 359
 - India 141
 - Israel 276
 - Japan 215
 - United Kingdom 448
 - United States of America 560
- genetically modified organisms
 - 110
- Germany 360, 362, 368, 376, 416
 - climate change risks 386
 - climate protection legislation 379–86
 - energy efficiency 382–3
 - European Union Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) 381
 - mitigation targets 380–1
 - planning law 384–5
 - renewable energy 383–4
 - revenue and tax law 385
 - climate-related protest 398
 - constitution 376, 387–90, 393
 - EU emissions trading scheme and 381, 414
- industrial and natural resources
 - context 378–9
- information law 414–16
- legal system 376–8
- OECD complaints 397–8
- private law 399
 - negligence 400–9
 - nuisance 409–13
 - strict liability 413–14
- public law 387
 - constitutional context 387–90
 - judicial review 390–7
- Gore, Albert 557
- government and the State *see* local government; national governments
- Grantham Institute 25
- greenwashing
 - Australia 107
 - Canada 553
- Grossman, David A. 338

- harm *see* damage
- Hatoyama, Yukio 208
- herbicides
 - South Africa 334
- housing developments
 - Australia 81, 82
- Hsu, S. 85
- Huhne, Chris 446
- human rights issues 38–40
 - Brazil 620
 - Canada 527, 532–4
 - environmental rights
 - Egypt 260
 - India 147–50, 152–4
 - Indonesia 189
 - Kenya 309–12
 - Poland 436–9
 - South Africa 321–2
 - European Union 352–3, 371
 - Germany 393
 - Indonesia 199–200
 - Japan 234
 - Kenya 308
 - Mexico 636, 646
 - Poland 436–9
 - Russia 497–9

- European Convention on Human Rights and 498
 - human rights litigation 499
 - indigenous peoples 498–9
- South Africa 344
- United Kingdom 453–7, 486–7
- United States of America 557–79
- Humphreys, Stephen 38, 42
- hydroelectricity
 - Brazil 609, 625
 - India 141, 142
 - Japan 215
 - United Kingdom 448
 - United States of America 560
- illegality 406–8
 - judicial review ground 161, 222
- immunities
 - Egypt 270
 - Russia 522
 - United States of America 600
- impact of climate change *see* effects of climate change
- India 51, 176–7
 - ancillary orders 173–4
 - climate risks 142–3
 - competition law 170
 - constitution 139, 147, 153, 154, 162
 - costs of litigation 174
 - criminal law 170
 - domestic policies and measures 145–7
 - enforcement of civil decrees 173
 - founding jurisdiction for a claim 172
 - industrial and natural resources context 141
 - international negotiating position, action and partnerships 143–5
 - legal system 139
 - obtaining information 174–6
 - private law 164
 - absolute liability 166–7
 - negligence 167–9
 - nuisance 164–6
 - public law
 - constitutional framework, environmental rights and international law 147–54
 - environmental law and regulation 156–60
 - judicial activism and public interest litigation 154–6
 - judicial review 160–4
 - public trust concept in 41, 151
 - unfair trade practices 171–2
 - World Heritage sites 170–1
- Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA) 142
- indigenous peoples
 - Canada 526, 530, 535–6
 - Indonesia 199
 - Russia 498–9
- individuals
 - action by private persons against decisions by EU Commission 367–8
 - citizen actions
 - Canada 554
 - Japan 224–6
 - as claimants for climate change liability 27
- Indonesia 204–5
 - climate change risk 178–9
 - constitution 188–9
 - criminal law 201–2
 - governmental stance on climate change 179–81
 - judiciary 182–4, 205
 - independence, impartiality, competence, accountability and culture 183–4
 - litigation culture 184
 - structure and jurisdiction 182–3
 - law enforcement 182
 - legal framework
 - general environmental law 184–5
 - laws and regulations 184
 - sectoral environmental law 186–8
 - private law
 - access to information 203–4
 - burden of proof 196
 - causality 195
 - damage or loss 195
 - fault 194–5
 - litigation costs 203
 - orders and enforcement 202–3

- Indonesia (*cont.*)
 - potential cases of business liability 201
 - potential cases of government liability 197–201
 - remedies 195
 - standing and representations 196
 - unlawful action 193–4
 - who may claim and who can be a defendant 196
- public law 188
 - challenging administrative decisions 190–3
 - constitutional review 188–9
 - judicial review of regulations 189–90
 - source of law and hierarchy of legislation 181–2
 - sources of greenhouse gases 179
- information
 - access to and obtaining *see* access to information
 - failure to provide
 - Indonesia 199–200
- information law
 - Germany 414–16
- infrastructure
 - Kenya 301
- injunctions
 - Australia 101
 - India 173–4
 - Israel 294
 - Japan 223, 232–3
 - United States of America 576–8
- insurance industry 27
 - climate change liability and 30
 - Russia 514
- intention
 - South Africa 337–9
- Inter-American System for Promotion and Protection of Human Rights 39
- interdict
 - South Africa 343
- intergenerational equity 28, 77
 - India 151
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 12–13, 24, 56, 208, 320
- interim measures *see* ancillary orders
- interlocutory orders
 - India 174
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) 61
- International Court of Justice (ICJ) 595
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 39, 153
 - Egypt and 269
 - Japan and 234
- International Covenant on Economic Social and Cultural Rights 39, 153
- International Geophysical Year (1957–58) 14
- International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED) 25
- International Partnership for a Hydrogen Economy
 - India and 145
- Inuit Circumpolar Conference 26
- Ipp Report 89, 95, 97
- irrationality
 - judicial review ground 162
- Israel 295
 - Clean Air Act (2008) 274–5, 281, 293
 - climate change risks 277–8
 - constitution 285–6
 - governmental stance on climate change 272–4
 - industrial and natural resources context 276
 - legal system 272
 - planning law 282–3
 - private law 286
 - breach of statutory duty 287–8
 - negligence 288–93
 - new civil causes of action 293–4
 - nuisance 286–7, 294
 - statute of limitation 295
- public law 278
 - constitutional law 285–6
 - examples of challenges to administrative bodies in practice 283–5
 - judicial review grounds 279–83
 - justiciability and standing 278
- Italy 362, 366

- Japan 240–1
 - ancillary orders 239
 - climate change risks 215
 - competition law 236–7
 - conservation of energy law (1979) 213–15
 - constitution 206, 207, 217, 234
 - criminal law 234–5
 - earthquake and tsunami 210
 - enforcement issues 239
 - Environmental Dispute
 - Coordination Commission (EDCC) review 233–4
 - founding jurisdiction 237–8
 - governmental stance on climate change 207–10
 - human rights issues and 234
 - industrial and natural resources context 215–16
 - law concerning promotion of measures to address global warming (1998) 210–12
 - legal costs 239
 - legal system 206
 - obtaining information 211, 240
 - private law 228
 - causation 229–30
 - injunctions 232–3
 - joint and several liability 230–2
 - negligence 228–9
 - public interest litigation 239
 - public international law and 237–8
 - public law
 - administrative litigation 218–26
 - cases with direct relevance to climate change 226–8
 - judicial review system 216–17
 - public trust concept in 235–6
- joint and several liability
 - Canada 548–9
 - Japan 230–2
- judicial review
 - Australia 75–82
 - Brazil 613–15
 - Canada 531–40
 - constitutional challenges based on aboriginal rights 535–6
 - constitutional challenges based on protected individual rights 532–4
 - constitutional challenges on legislative competence 531–2
 - judicial review of regulatory decisions 536–9
 - standing 539–40
- Egypt 260
- Germany 390–7
 - grounds 392–4
 - other procedural aspects 396–7
 - overview 390–2
 - standing 394–6
 - state aid/competition law 397
- India 160–4
 - grounds 161–3
 - other aspects 164
- Indonesia 189–90
- Israel 279–83
- Japan 216–17
 - administrative litigation 218–26
 - Environmental Dispute
 - Coordination Commission (EDCC) review 233–4
- Kenya 302–3
 - grounds 304–7
- Mexico 634–7
 - grounds 634
 - human rights law and 636
 - property rights and planning law 637
 - remedies 641
 - standing 635–6
- Poland 421–3
- United Kingdom 449
 - examples in practice 452–3
 - grounds 450
 - human rights law and 453–7
 - other aspects 450–2
- United States of America 562–3
 - barriers 573–6, 589–92
 - causation issues 591–2
 - Clean Air Act 563–7
 - Clean Water Act 567–8
 - constitutional standing 573–4, 590
 - Endangered Species Act 570–2

- judicial review (*cont.*)
 - exhaustion of remedies 576
 - grounds 563–73
 - mootness 576
 - National Environmental Policy Act 568–70
 - political question doctrine 590–1
 - prudential standing 574
 - regulatory challenges 563
 - ripeness and finality 575–6
 - Securities and Exchange Commission 572–3
 - statutory challenges 562–3
- judiciary
 - Egypt 245
 - Germany 377
 - India 154–6
 - Indonesia 182–4, 205
 - independence, impartiality, competence, accountability and culture 183–4
 - litigation culture 184
 - structure and jurisdiction 182–3
 - Russia 508
- jurisdiction
 - Brazil 619–20
 - Canada 526
 - founding *see* founding jurisdiction
 - Indonesia 182–3
 - challenging administrative decisions 190–1
 - South Africa 346
 - United States of America
 - domestic activities 598
 - foreign activities 598–9
- justiciability of claims 35
 - Egypt 257
 - Israel 278
 - Kenya 303–4
 - United Kingdom 472–4
- Kay, A. 20, 21
- Kenya 318
 - climate change risks 300–1
 - constitution 38, 296, 307, 308–10
 - criminal law 315–16
 - governmental stance on climate change 297–9
 - Draft Climate Change Bill (2010) 298–9
 - National Climate Change Response Strategy (NCCRS) 298
- industrial and natural resources
 - context 299–300
- legal system 297
- private law 312
 - causation 315
 - foreseeability 314
 - negligence 314
 - nuisance 313
 - rule in *Rylands v. Fletcher* 314
 - trespass 312–13
- public law 302–3
 - constitutional and framework environmental law 308–12
 - grounds for judicial review 304–7
 - justiciability 303–4
 - public interest litigation 307–8
 - standing 303–4, 308
 - public trust concept 316–17
 - regional framework 317–18
- Kyoto Protocol 23, 55–6, 60, 109
 - Australia and 67, 68, 109, 110
 - Brazil and 608
 - Canada and 527, 538
 - Egypt and 246
 - European Union and 353, 357
 - Germany and 380
 - India and 143, 153
 - Japan and 207, 208, 216
 - Mexico and 628, 644
 - Poland 420
 - Russia and 492–3, 500–2
 - South Africa and 320
 - United Kingdom and 446, 474, 476, 481
 - United States of America and 557
- Lanza, D. 102
- lead in petrol 473
- legal costs 46
 - Australia 103–6
 - costs limiting orders and security for costs 106
 - Canada 540–1

- Egypt 268
- European Union 373
- Germany 396
- India 174
- Indonesia 203
- Japan 239
- Mexico 646
- Poland 443–4
- Russia 489–90, 521
- United Kingdom 451, 485
- United States of America 577
- legal system
 - Australia 67–8
 - Brazil 296–607
 - Canada 525–7
 - China 112–13
 - Egypt 245
 - English 445
 - European Union 351–2
 - Germany 376–8
 - India 139
 - Indonesia 181–2
 - Israel 272
 - Japan 206
 - Kenya 297
 - Mexico 627–8
 - Poland 417
 - United States of America 556–7
- licences
 - Germany 407
- limitation law
 - Canada 549
 - Israel 295
 - Mexico 640–1
 - Poland 434
 - United Kingdom 474–5
- litigation culture
 - Indonesia 184
- local government
 - Australia
 - civil liability of government bodies 101–2
 - as claimants for climate change liability 27
 - Japan 211
- Lockwood, M. 14
- long-term view 35–6
- Luxembourg 366
- Major Economies Forum
 - India and 145
- Manaus Declaration 621
- mandamus action
 - Japan 222–3
- Mank, B. 92
- markets
 - climate change liability and 60–2
 - market share theory of liability 100
 - Canada 548–9
- Marrakesh Accords
 - Japan and 207
- Mendelson, D. 95
- merits review
 - Australia 82–4
- Methane to Markets Partnership
 - India and 145
- Mexico 648–9
 - climate change risks 632
 - constitution 627, 636, 637, 638, 643, 646
 - criminal law 642–4, 648
 - enforcement issues 645
 - founding jurisdiction 645
 - governmental stance on climate change 628–32
 - climate change laws 629–32
 - industrial and natural resources 632
 - influence of international law 644
 - legal costs 646
 - legal system 627–8
 - liability in public international law 645
 - obtaining information 646–8
 - OECD and 645
 - private law 637–8
 - causation issues 639–40
 - defendants 639
 - limitation issues 640–1
 - remedies 641–2
 - who can claim 638–9
 - public law 633
 - judicial review 634–7
 - public trust concept 644
 - soft law 645
 - World Heritage sites 644
- Micronesia 26, 32

- multinational enterprises
 - Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines 397–8, 440, 482
- Nairobi Declaration on the African Process 317
- national governments
 - climate change liability and 27, 28–9
 - state-owned industry 29
 - public liability 31–2
 - public trust and 40–1
 - see also* individual countries
- natural justice
 - principles of natural justice as ground for judicial review 305–6
- natural reserves
 - Egypt 256–7
- natural resources
 - Brazil 609
 - China 123–4, 559
 - Egypt 248–9
 - European Union 359
 - Germany 378–9
 - India 141
 - Indonesia 187, 205
 - Israel 276
 - Japan 215–16
 - Kenya 299–300
 - Mexico 632
 - Poland 419–20
 - Russia 502–3
 - United Kingdom 448–9
 - United States of America 559–61
 - see also* coal mining; oil; water resources
- negligence
 - Australia 86, 88
 - causation 92–8
 - Canada 543–5
 - Germany 400–9
 - factual causation 400–4
 - fault/responsibility 408
 - illegality/lack of justification 406–8
 - legal causation/accountability 405–6
 - reimbursable damages 408–9
 - India 167–9
 - Israel 288–93
 - causation issues 291–3
 - duty of care and foreseeability 289–90
 - who may be sued 289
 - Japan 228–9
 - Kenya 314
 - South Africa 337–9
 - contributory negligence 340
 - United Kingdom 459, 462–4
 - fault and 470
- neighbour law
 - South Africa 343
- Netherlands 358
- New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) 345
- New York Convention 267, 442, 484
- no-fault liability
 - Egypt 262
- noise control
 - United Kingdom 455
- non-governmental organisations (NGOs)
 - as claimants for climate change liability 27
 - climate-related protest and 398
- North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation (NAAEC) 554
- Norway
 - support for Indonesia from 181, 204
- nuclear energy
 - European Union 359
 - Germany 379, 415
 - India 141, 142
 - Japan 210, 215
 - United Kingdom 448
 - United States of America 560
- nuisance
 - Australia 98–100
 - Canada 545–6
 - Germany 409–13
 - claim for abatement of emissions 410–11
 - claim for financial compensation 412
 - claim for safety measures 411–12
 - claim for termination of greenhouse gas emissions 410

- India 164–6
- Israel 286–7, 294
- Kenya 313
- South Africa 343
- United Kingdom 456, 459, 460–2
- United States of America 591
- null-hypothesis 12
- Obama, Barack 557, 559, 562
- oil 609
 - Egypt 248
 - India 141
 - Israel 285
 - United Kingdom 448
- oil-fired power stations
 - European Union 359
 - Japan 215
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 519, 520
 - Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises 397–8, 440, 482
 - Mexico and 645
 - United States of America and 597
- Pacific Decadal Oscillation 16
- Pall, P. 19, 20
- Peel, J. 84
- Peres, Shimon 273
- permits
 - Russia 509–10
- Philippines
 - public trust concept in 41
- planning law
 - Germany 384–5
 - Israel 282–3
 - Mexico 637
 - South Africa 326
 - United Kingdom 456–7
- Poland 360, 361, 444
 - ancillary orders 443
 - climate change risks 420–1
 - constitution 421, 436–7
 - criminal law 439
 - enforcement issues 442
 - EU emissions trading scheme and 418–19, 426
 - founding jurisdiction 441
 - governmental stance on climate change 417–18
 - human rights issues 436–9
 - industrial and natural resources context 419–20
 - legal costs 443–4
 - legal system 417
 - private law
 - basic information on tort liability 428–30
 - causation issues 430
 - civil claims arising from creation of area of limited use 432–3
 - class actions 434
 - co-liability 433–4
 - defendants 430
 - liability of public authorities 430
 - liability relating to conduct of business 431–2
 - limitation issues 434
 - obligation to prevent harm 432
 - protection of property against emissions 436
 - remedies 434
 - who can claim 430
 - public interest litigation 442
 - public law 421
 - environmental administrative liability 427–8
 - examples of cases in practice 424
 - expected trends in development of climate change challenges 426–7
 - grounds for judicial review 421–3
 - State Treasury liability for delay in issuing National Allocation Plan 425–6
 - State Treasury liability for illegal activity of administrative bodies 423–4
 - receipt of information 444
 - soft law 440
 - pollution
 - polluter pays principle
 - Brazil 617, 619
 - India 167
 - pollution share liability 404
 - see also* air pollution; soil pollution; water pollution

- precautionary principle
 - Australia 77, 82
 - India 150, 157
 - Kenya 312
- pre-emption 35
- private law
 - Australia 85–6
 - assessment of private law
 - litigation 102
 - causation 92–8
 - civil liability of government
 - bodies 101–2
 - class actions 102
 - duty of care 86–8
 - negligence 86, 88
 - nuisance 98–100
 - remedies 100–1
 - standard of care and breach of
 - duty 88–91
 - Brazil 615–17
 - difficulties in applying
 - civil liability regime to
 - environmental damage 617–18
 - environmental protection as
 - responsibility of private entities
 - and public authorities 618–19
 - jurisdictional instruments for
 - controlling climate change
 - 619–20
 - Canada 541
 - causation issues 547–8
 - conspiracy 545
 - joint and several liability *versus*
 - market share liability 548–9
 - limitation issues 549
 - negligence 543–5
 - nuisance 545–6
 - public trust doctrine 549
 - securities litigation 549
 - strict liability 546
 - tort litigation 542–3
 - China 135–7
 - Egypt 260
 - causation 264–5
 - definition of damage/harm 263–4
 - liability on a fault basis 261–2
 - liability on a non-fault basis 262
 - remedies 265
 - Germany 399
 - negligence 400–9
 - nuisance 409–13
 - strict liability 413–14
 - India 164
 - absolute liability 166–7
 - negligence 167–9
 - nuisance 164–6
 - Indonesia
 - access to information 203–4
 - burden of proof 196
 - causality 195
 - damage or loss 195
 - fault 194–5
 - litigation costs 203
 - orders and enforcement 202–3
 - potential cases of business
 - liability 201
 - potential cases of government
 - liability 197–201
 - remedies 195
 - standing and representations
 - 196
 - unlawful action 193–4
 - who may claim and who can be a
 - defendant 196
 - Israel 286
 - breach of statutory duty 287–8
 - negligence 288–93
 - new civil causes of action 293–4
 - nuisance 286–7, 294
 - statute of limitation 295
 - Japan 228
 - causation 229–30
 - injunctions 232–3
 - joint and several liability 230–2
 - negligence 228–9
 - Kenya 312
 - causation 315
 - foreseeability 314
 - negligence 314
 - nuisance 313
 - rule in *Rylands v. Fletcher* 314
 - trespass 312–13
 - Mexico 637–8
 - causation issues 639–40
 - defendants 639
 - limitation issues 640–1

- remedies 641–2
- who can claim 638–9
- Poland
 - basic information on tort liability 428–30
 - causation issues 430
 - civil claims arising from creation of area of limited use 432–3
 - class actions 434
 - co-liability 433–4
 - defendants 430
 - liability of public authorities 430
 - liability relating to conduct of business 431–2
 - limitation issues 434
 - obligation to prevent harm 432
 - protection of property against emissions 436
 - remedies 434
 - who can claim 430
- private international law 46
- Russia 512
 - damages for harm to health and environment 515–16
 - defendants 512–14
 - remedies 517
 - tort law 514–15
- South Africa 333
 - act or omission (conduct) 333–4
 - causation 340–2
 - damage 339–40
 - fault (intention/negligence) 337–9
 - nuisance and neighbour law 343
 - product liability 342
 - remedies 343–4
 - wrongfulness 334–7
- United Kingdom
 - actionable damage 459–60
 - defendants 460
 - issues of causation 464, 465–70
 - issues of damage 459–60, 464–5
 - issues of fault and foreseeability 470–2
 - issues of statutory authority and non-justiciability 472–4
 - limitation issues 474–5
 - negligence 459, 462–4
 - nuisance 456, 459, 460–2
 - preliminary considerations 457–9
 - remedies 475
 - who may claim 460
- United States of America 579
 - barriers to judicial review 589–92
 - fraudulent misrepresentation and conspiracy 587–9
 - nuisance 580–7, 591
- private liability for climate change 32–7
- probabilistic event attribution 11, 13
 - Japan 230
- procedural impropriety
 - as ground for judicial review 163, 279, 393
- procedural law
 - China 132–4
- product liability
 - South Africa 342
- proof *see* burden of proof; standard of proof
- property rights
 - Brazil 623
 - Mexico 637
 - United Kingdom 456–7
- proportionality
 - judicial review ground 163
- protective orders
 - Indonesia 202
- protests
 - climate-related 398
- public interest litigation
 - Egypt 268
 - India 154–6
 - Japan 239
 - Kenya 307–8
 - Poland 442
 - Russia 521
 - South Africa 346
- public law
 - Australia 72
 - assessment of public law litigation 84–5
 - enforcement of environmental laws 74–5
 - remedies 72–4, 84–5
 - review of government decisions 75–84

- public law (*cont.*)
 - standing to seek public remedies 72–4
- Brazil 610–13
 - judicial review 613–15
- Canada 530
 - grounds for judicial review 531–40
- China
 - energy conservation and emissions reduction 127–32
 - environmental criminal law 134–5
 - law on environmental impact assessment 125–7
 - legal liability on climate change in specific laws on environmental protection 132
 - procedural law 132–4
- Egypt 257
 - constitutional review 251
 - existence of administrative decision 258–9
 - grounds for judicial review 260
 - justiciability of claims 257
 - standing 258
- European Union 360
 - action by private persons against decisions by EU Commission 367–8
 - court decisions on allocation of powers between EU and member states 360–2
 - enforcement of EU climate change provisions in member states 364–7
 - general assessment of EU public law litigation 368–71
 - validity of EU legislation 362–4
- Germany 387
 - constitutional context 387–90
 - judicial review 390–7
- India
 - constitutional framework, environmental rights and international law 147–54
 - environmental law and regulation 156–60
- judicial activism and public interest litigation 154–6
- judicial review 160–4
- Indonesia 188
 - challenging administrative decisions 190–3
 - constitutional review 188–9
 - judicial review of regulations 189–90
- Israel 278
 - constitutional law 285–6
 - examples of challenges to administrative bodies in practice 283–5
 - judicial review grounds 279–83
 - justiciability and standing 278
- Japan
 - administrative litigation 218–26
 - cases with direct relevance to climate change 226–8
 - judicial review system 216–17
- Kenya 302–3
 - constitutional and framework environmental law 308–12
 - grounds for judicial review 304–7
 - justiciability 303–4
 - public interest litigation 307–8
 - standing 303–4, 308
- Mexico 633
 - judicial review 634–7
- Poland 421
 - environmental administrative liability 427–8
 - examples of cases in practice 424
 - expected trends in development of climate change challenges 426–7
 - grounds for judicial review 421–3
 - State Treasury liability for delay in issuing National Allocation Plan 425–6
 - State Treasury liability for illegal activity of administrative bodies 423–4
- public international law 46
 - Australia and 108–10
 - Canada and 550
 - Japan and 237–8

- Mexico and 645
- Russia and 520
- remedies 44
- Russia 506
 - basic legal principles of public law
 - review 508
 - court system 508
 - general public law actions 511
 - potential claimants 507
 - remedies 511–12
 - review of public decisions 509–10
 - striking down legislation 511
- South Africa
 - access to information 325
 - administrative law 324
 - atmospheric pollution 326–7
 - constitution 320, 321–2, 331, 337
 - enforcement mechanisms 328–31
 - liability in the event of disaster
 - 327–8
 - National Environmental Management Act (NEMA)
 - 322–4
 - planning for GHG intensive and energy related activities 326
 - remedies 332
 - standing to sue 331–2
- United Kingdom 449–50
 - examples in practice 452–3
 - grounds for judicial review 450
 - human rights law and judicial review 453–7
 - other aspects of judicial review
 - 450–2
- United States of America 562
 - energy litigation activity 578
 - remedies 576–8
 - types of judicial review 562–3
- public liability for climate change 31–2
- public participation
 - China 126
- public trust concept 40–1
 - Canada 549
 - Egypt 266–7
 - India 41, 151
 - Japan 235–6
 - Kenya 316–17
 - Mexico 644
 - Russia 496
 - South Africa 345
 - United Kingdom 478–9
 - United States of America 594–5
- Ramesh, Jairam 142
- recycling and reuse
 - China 120
- refugees 622, 623
- regional cooperation
 - Kenya and 317–18
 - Russia 502
 - South Africa 345
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) 60
- regulation of climate change 24, 31, 36
- religious discrimination
 - United Kingdom 455
- remedies 44
 - Australia 72–4, 84–5, 100–1
 - Egypt 265
 - Indonesia 192, 195
 - Israel 290
 - Mexico 641–2
 - Poland 434
 - Russia 511–12, 517
 - South Africa 332, 343–4
 - United Kingdom 451, 458, 475
 - United States of America 576–8
 - exhaustion of remedies 576
 - see also* damages remedy; specific performance remedy
- renewable energy
 - Australia 72, 83
 - Brazil 609, 612–13, 626
 - China 129
 - Egypt 247
 - European Union 354–5, 359
 - Germany 378, 379, 383–4, 415
 - India 141
 - Indonesia 187
 - Israel 273
 - Japan 215
 - United Kingdom 448
 - United States of America 560
- reporting of emissions
 - Japan 211
- representative actions *see* class actions

- review of government decisions
 - Australia 75–84
 - see also* judicial review
- risks of climate change *see* effects of climate change
- Ruddock, Kirsty 105
- Russia 522
 - climate change laws and policy 494–503
 - industrial and natural resources 502–3
 - Kyoto legislation 500–2
 - other principles of law 499
 - principal environmental laws 495–6
 - principal human rights laws 497–9
 - regional initiatives 502
 - role of Soviet law 494
- climate change litigation 504–6
 - future 505–6
 - sectors at risk 505
- climate change risks 503
- competition/anti-trust law 517
- constitution 490, 498, 508, 521, 522
- criminal law 517
- enforcement issues 521
- EU-Russia Agreement 519
- founding jurisdiction 520
- governmental stance on climate change 491–4
 - environmental awareness and education 491–2
 - FCCC and Kyoto Protocol 492–3
- governmental climate change agencies 492
- post, 2012 493
- immunities 522
- international climate change law and policy and 56
- international environmental law and 517
- legal costs 489–90, 521
- obtaining information 522
- private law 512
 - damages for harm to health and environment 515–16
 - defendants 512–14
 - remedies 517
 - tort law 514–15
- public interest litigation 521
- public international law and 520
- public law 506
 - basic legal principles of public law review 508
 - court system 508
 - general public law actions 511
 - potential claimants 507
 - remedies 511–12
 - review of public decisions 509–10
 - striking down legislation 511
- soft law 518–19
- Rylands v. Fletcher* rule 314
- Sea-level rise 17, 622
 - Australia 70, 83, 109
 - Brazil 623, 625
 - Canada 529
 - European Union 358
 - India 142, 143, 170
 - Indonesia 178
 - Israel 277
 - Kenya 301
 - Poland 420
 - United Kingdom 457
 - United States of America 561
- secondary liability 36–7
- securities law
 - Canada 549
 - United States of America 572–3
- simulation models of climate 10, 13, 14
- socially responsible investment 483
- soft law 42–3
 - European Union 372
 - Mexico and 645
 - Poland 440
 - Russia 518–19
 - South Africa 345
 - United Kingdom 482–3
- soil pollution
 - India 167
- sources of emissions *see* emissions sources
- South Africa 319–20, 347
 - constitution 320, 321–2, 331, 337
 - human rights protection 344

- jurisdiction 346
- private law 333
 - act or omission (conduct) 333–4
 - causation 340–2
 - damage 339–40
 - fault (intention/negligence) 337–9
 - nuisance and neighbour law 343
 - product liability 342
 - remedies 343–4
 - wrongfulness 334–7
- public interest litigation 346
- public law
 - access to information 325
 - administrative law 324
 - atmospheric pollution 326–7
 - constitution 320, 321–2, 331, 337
 - enforcement mechanisms 328–31
 - liability in the event of disaster 327–8
 - National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) 322–4
 - planning for GHG intensive and energy related activities 326
 - remedies 332
 - standing to sue 331–2
 - public trust concept 345
 - regional cooperation 345
 - soft law 345
- Southern Development Community (SADC) 345
- Spain 360, 368, 454
- specific performance remedy
 - Egypt 265
- stakeholder information
 - Germany 416
- standard of proof
 - Germany 402–3
- standing (*locus standi*)
 - Australia 72–4
 - Canada 539–40
 - Egypt 258
 - European Union 373
 - Germany 394–6
 - Indonesia 192, 196
 - Israel 278
 - Japan 219, 223, 241
 - Kenya 303–4, 308
 - Mexico 635–6
 - South Africa 331–2
 - United Kingdom 477–8
 - United States of America
 - constitutional standing 573–4, 590
 - prudential standing 574
 - statutory authority 35
 - United Kingdom 472–4
 - statutory duty, breach of
 - Israel 287–8
 - Stern, Lord 25, 42, 142
 - strict liability 136, 166
 - Brazil 616, 617
 - Canada 546
 - Germany 413–14
 - Indonesia 195
 - Kenya 314
 - Mexico 637
 - striking down legislation
 - Russia 511
 - subsidies 61
 - supply chain 41–2
 - supply chain regulation
 - European Union 371
 - sustainable development
 - see* ecologically sustainable development (ESD)
- targets *see* emissions reduction targets
- taxation
 - Australia 71
 - Germany 385
- technology 62–3
- time limits *see* limitation law
- timescales of climate 9
- tourism
 - Kenya 301
- trade practices law
 - Australia 107
 - India 171–2
- trading schemes *see* emissions trading schemes
- trespass
 - Kenya 312–13
- Tuvalu 623

- ultra vires*
 - as ground for judicial review 304–5
- unfair trade practices
 - India 171–2
- United Kingdom 361, 364, 487
 - civil unrest in 108
 - climate change risks 449
 - competition law 479–80
 - criminal law 475–6
 - enforcement issues 484
 - English legal system 445
 - flooding in 13, 17, 19–22
 - founding jurisdiction 483–4
 - governmental stance on climate change 445–8
 - Climate Change Act, 2008 446–8
 - industrial and natural resources context 448–9
 - influence of international law on climate change liabilities 476–8
 - legal costs 451, 485
 - liability in public international law 480–2
 - mandate in Palestine 272
 - obtaining information 485–7
 - private law
 - actionable damage 459–60
 - defendants 460
 - issues of causation 464, 465–70
 - issues of damage 459–60, 464–5
 - issues of fault and foreseeability 470–2
 - issues of statutory authority and non-justiciability 472–4
 - limitation issues 474–5
 - negligence 459, 462–4
 - nuisance 456, 459, 460–2
 - preliminary considerations 457–9
 - remedies 475
 - who may claim 460
 - property rights and planning law 456–7
 - public law 449–50
 - examples in practice 452–3
 - grounds for judicial review 450
 - human rights law and judicial review 453–7
 - other aspects of judicial review 450–2
 - public trust concept 478–9
 - regulation of climate change 31
 - soft law 43, 482–3
 - standing 477–8
 - World Heritage sites 480
- United Nations 519
 - Conference on the Law of the Sea 558
 - Environment Programme 608
 - Framework Convention on Climate Change (FCCC) 51, 53–5, 61, 109, 152
 - Australia and 67, 69
 - Brazil and 608
 - Canada and 527
 - Egypt and 246
 - European Union and 357
 - India and 143, 153
 - Japan and 207
 - Mexico and 644
 - Russia and 492–3
 - South Africa and 320
 - United Kingdom and 476, 480, 481
 - United States of America and 557, 596
 - see also* Kyoto Protocol
 - human rights issues and 39
- United States of America 60, 556, 601
 - ‘cap and trade’ scheme 61
 - class actions in 46
 - climate change risks 561–2
 - competition law 41
 - constitution 556, 557, 562, 573
 - criminal law 594
 - effects of climate change 25
 - endangered species 32
 - enforcement issues 599
 - federal stance on climate change 557–9
 - major international treaties 557–8
 - negotiating position 558–9
 - foreign judgments 596–7
 - government immunity from litigation 600
 - human rights 557–79

- industrial and natural resources
 - context 559–61
- insurance industry and climate
 - change liability 30
- international climate change law
 - and policy and 55, 56
- judicial review
 - causation issues 591–2
- jurisdiction
 - domestic activities 598
 - foreign activities 598–9
- legal system 556–7
- market share theory of liability 100
- obtaining information 600
- OECD and 597
- private law 579
 - barriers to judicial review 589–92
 - fraudulent misrepresentation and conspiracy 587–9
 - nuisance 580–7, 591
- private liability for climate change
 - 33
 - proof of causation 34
- public law 562
 - barriers to judicial review 573–6
 - energy litigation activity 578
 - remedies 576–8
 - types of judicial review 562–3
- public trust concept 594–5
- Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) 60
- regional laws 593–4
- regulation of climate change 24
- state laws 592–3
- trade in illegal plant products 42
- treaty liabilities 595–6
- Western Climate Initiative 60
- unreasonableness
 - as ground for judicial review 162, 280–1
- volcanic eruptions
 - effects on climate 14, 16
- waste disposal 155
 - China 119
 - Egypt 246
 - Indonesia 185
 - Spain 454
- water pollution
 - Brazil 617
 - India 167
 - Kenya 311
 - United States of America 567–8
- water resources
 - Israel 277, 289
 - Kenya 301
 - United States of America 561
- weather 9, 10
- wildlife 32
 - Canada 552
 - Mexico 641
 - United States of America 570–2
- World Heritage Convention 43, 109, 170, 644
- World Heritage sites
 - India 170–1
 - Mexico 644
 - United Kingdom 480
- World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - 41, 42
 - dispute settlement system 110
- wrongfulness
 - South Africa 334–7
- Yudhoyono, Susilo Bambang 204

